	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/731,605	DOOLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul Misiak.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 June 2007	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative confirmed in a telephone interview on June 27, 2007 that a response to the final rejection of December 11, 2006 has not been filed with the Office and that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation application.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
$\Lambda \Lambda \sim 0$	·	
The stand of an invitation		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)